Diversity and Inclusion in the Peer Writing Center

Christine Modey and Simone Sessolo

Faculty Communities for Inclusive Teaching, 2016

Project Overview

• Discussion group / Reading group

• Overview:

A series of meetings to focus on diversity and inclusion in the Peer Writing Center

• Methods:

Reading articles as a jumping off point for discussion

Exploring uncomfortable topics to increase awareness

Participants

Sweetland Faculty

Paul Barron Scott Beal Gina Brandolino Louis Cicciarelli Christine Modey (Director of the Peer Writing Consultant Program) Simone Sessolo Naomi Silver (Associate Director)

Peer Consultants

Renna Ayyash McKenzie Campbell Sonalee Joshi Emma Saraff Allyson Wright

Themes / Goals

Themes:

- Racial Microaggressions in the Peer Writing Center
- The Peer Writing Center as a Political Space 2.
- Possibilities and Strategies from the Peer Consultants' Perspective 3.
- Bridging the Gap between Faculty and Peer Consultants

Goals:

- 1. Find a way to talk about how writing participates in conceptions of diversity and inclusion, particularly in the peer interactions among writers and consultants
- 2. Position Peer Consultants as central agents in discussions of diversity and inclusion on campus
- 3. Develop a greater sense of community and collaboration between Sweetland Faculty and Peer Consultants

Participant Responses

"As a student, it was an amazing opportunity to be able to chat informally with fellow students and University faculty about diversity topics. The community that we created was unlike any other I was a part of as a student." McKenzie Campbell, U-M Senior

"It was rewarding to feel part of a productive conversation within Sweetland, alongside individuals with actual power to define and change rules-when diversity dialogues happen with groups of students, while it is cathartic, it always feels kind of hopeless because we ultimately have limited resources to alter institutional norms." Emma Saraff, U-M Senior

"It's so valuable when instructors and students talk, as we did, on an equal footing. Listening to students is key. It was at least as valuable as the readings themselves." Paul Barron, Lecturer



SA SWEETLAND CENTER FOR WRITING

Resources / Readings

- Center Journal 27.2 (2007): 19-38.
- 27-52.
- Web.
- 3-17.
- (2009): 183-90.

Next Steps

- Development training
- academic fields.
- generation students)

• Condon, Frankie. "Beyond the Known: Writing Center and the Work of Anti-Racism." The Writing

• Condon, Frankie, and Bobbi Olson. "Building a House for Linguistic Diversity." Tutoring Second Language Writers. Boulder: UP of Colorado, 2016.

• Diab, Rasha, et al. "Making Commitments to Racial Justice Actionable." Across the Disciplines 10.3 (2013):

• Glick, Steven. "I Resign: The Writing Center's Mission is to Teach Writing, Not Ideology." The Claremont Independent 28 Feb. 2016: Web. • Sue, Derald Wing. "What is Race Talk?" Race Talk and The Conspiracy of Silence. Hoboken: Wiley, 2015.

• Sue, Derald Wing, et al., "Racial Microaggressions and Difficult Dialogues on Race in the Classroom." Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology 15.2

• University of Michigan Student Profile 2014.

• As a unit, outreach to faculty and peer consultants on how to talk to students about their writing in an inclusive way

• Incorporate readings from group in our Peer Consultants' Professional

• Diversify our Peer Consultant staff, deliberately recruiting candidates from under-represented backgrounds and

• Increase awareness of invisible differences in the Peer Writing Center (e.g. learning disabilities and first-