

October 19, 2012:

Interactive Large-Scale Data Analyses and Visualization for Learning

Krishna Madhavan, Assistant Professor, School of Engineering Education, Purdue University



THIS WORK IS LICENSED UNDER A

CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION-NONCOMMERCIAL-SHAREALIKE

3.0 UNITED STATES LICENSE.

Copyright © 2012, the Regents of the University of Michigan



www.crlt.umich.edu/slam

Interactive Large Scale Analyses and Visualization for Learning

Krishna Madhavan Gerhard Klimeck Mihaela Vorvoreanu Xin "Cindy" Chen Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN



They say the next frontier is data...





They say the next frontier is data...



Data is useless...its like a genie stuck in the lamp...

No Mojo!



What is the impact of my work?



Q

I can search the world's data - so what?





What if I don't know where to start searching?

Number of results I rather get...





To me - nobody really cares about data... People care about sense making!



The grand challenge is making sense of data



WIRED MAGAZINE: 16.07

The End of Theory: The Data Deluge Makes the Scientific Method Obsolete

By Chris Anderson 06.23.08



Illustration: Marian Bantjes

THE PETABYTE AGE:

Sensors everywhere. Infinite storage. Clouds of processors. Our ability to capture, warehouse, and understand massive amounts of data is changing science, medicine, business, and technology. As our collection of facts and figures grows, so will the opportunity to find answers to fundamental questions. Because in the era of big data, more isn't just more. More is different.

THE END OF THEORY:

Essay: The Data Deluge Makes the Scientific Method Obsolete

Feeding the Masses Chasing the Quark Winning the Lawsuit Tracking the News Spotting the Hot Zones Sorting the Hot Zones Sorting the World Watching the Skies Scanning Our Skeletons

"All models are wrong, but some are useful."

So proclaimed statistician George Box 30 years ago, and he was right. But what choice did we have? Only models, from cosmological equations to theories of human behavior, seemed to be able to consistently, if imperfectly, explain the world around us. Until now. Today companies like Google, which have grown up in an era of massively abundant data, don't have to settle for wrong models. Indeed, they don't have to settle for models at all.

Sixty years ago, digital computers made information readable. Twenty years ago, the Internet made it reachable. Ten years ago, the first search engine crawlers made it a single database. Now Google and like-minded companies are sifting through the most measured age in history, treating this massive corpus as a laboratory of the human condition. They are the children of the Petabyte Age.

The Petabyte Age is different because more is different. Kilobytes were stored on floppy disks. Megabytes were stored on hard disks. Terabytes were stored in disk arrays. Petabytes are stored in the cloud. As we moved along that progression, we went from the folder analogy to the file cabinet analogy to the library analogy to — well, at petabytes we ran out of organizational analogies.

At the petabyte scale, information is not a matter of simple three- and four-dimensional taxonomy and order but of dimensionally agnostic statistics. It calls for an entirely different approach, one that requires us to lose the

money the large of a soluting the News soluting the World adding the Skies maing Our Skeletons At the petabyte scale, information is not a matter of simple threeour-dimensional taxonomy and order but of dimensionally agnostre st t calls for an entirely different approach, one that requires us to lose th

analogies.

succed in disk arrays. Peakytes are arrayed in the cloud. As we that progression, we went from the folder analogy to the file of to the library analogy to — well, at petalytes we ran out of o



CYBERINFRASTRUCTURE for engineering education

The grand challenge is making sense of data





The FOURTH PARADIGM

DATA-INTENSIVE SCIENTIFIC DISCOVERY

EDITED BY TONY HEY, STEWART TANSLEY, AND KRISTIN TOLLE



Next Frontier - Sense Making



Drowning Research

By Josh Fischman

scientists are wasting much of the data they are creating. Worldwide computing capacity grew at 8 percent every year from 1986 to 2007, and people sent almost two quadrillion megabytes of lata to one another, according to a study published on Thursday in Science. But scientists are osing a lot of the data, say researchers in a wide range of disciplines.

in 10 new articles, also published in Science, researchers in fields as diverse as paleontology and neuroscience say the lack of data libraries, insufficient support from federal research agencies, and the lack of academic credit for sharing data sets have created a situation in which money is vasted and information that could reveal better cancer treatments or the causes of climate hange goes by the wayside.

Everyone bears a certain amount of responsibility and blame for this situation," said Timothy B. Rowe, a professor of geological sciences at the University of Texas at Austin, who wrote one of the articles.

A big problem is the many forms of data and the difficulty of comparing them. In neuroscience, or instance, researchers collect data on scales of time that range from nanoseconds, if they are ooking at rates of neuron firing, to years, if they are looking at developmental changes. There are lso difference in the kind of data that come from optical microscopes and those that come from electron microscopes, and data on a cellular scale and data from a whole organism.

'I have struggled to cope with this diversity of data," said David C. Van Essen, chair of the lepartment of anatomy and neurobiology at the Washington University School of Medicine, in St. Jouis. Mr. Van Essen co-authored the Science article on the challenges data present to brain cientists. "For atmospheric scientists, they have one earth. We have billions of individual brains. How do we represent that? It's precisely this diversity that we want to explore."

He added that he was limited by how data are published. "When I see a figure in a paper, it's just he tip of the iceberg to me. I want to see it in a different form in order to do a different kind of nalysis." But the data are not available in a public, searchable format.

Prologista also atmosple with date dimenity "Com

analysis." But the data are not available in a public, searchable format.

he tip of the iceberg to me. I want to see it in a different form in order to do a different kind of

National Science Foundation

| FUNDING | AWARDS | DISCOVERIES | NEWS | PUBLICATIONS | STATISTICS |



Nows

News From the Field

For the News Media

News Archive

Press Release 11-028 A Scientific Gold Rush: Electronic Mining of Published Research

SEAL

NSF N

The journal Science publishes an important paper on

Special Reports Research Overviews NSF-Wide Investment Speeches & Lectures NSF Current Newsletter **Multimedia Gallery**

News by Research Area Arctic & Antarctic Astronomy & Space Biology **Chemistry & Materials** Computing Earth & Environmen Education Engineering Mathematics Nanoscience People & Society Physics



Perspective article argues that electronically-mined research may lead to future breakthroughs. Credit and Larger Version

February 10, 2011

The knowledge of knowledge. The science of science. Riddles? No. A burgeoning and important field of scientific research that examines research itself, say University of Chicago Sociology Assistant Professor James Evans and Post-doctoral Scholar Jacob Foster, Their analysis, supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF), is published in a perspective piece to appear in the Feb. 11 issue of the journal Science.

A scientific approach to delving into the knowledge of knowledge--metaknowledge--offers great potential for new discovery, they argue. New possibilities may arise when one uncovers scientific bias, possible "ghost theories" or acquires an understanding of the context of research, and then accounts for those factors or eliminates them and engages in new research.

"We review the expanding scope of metaknowledge research, which uncovers regularities in scientific claims and infers the beliefs, preferences, research tools and strategies behind those regularities. Metaknowledge research also investigates the effect of knowledge context on content. Teams and collaboration networks, institutional prestige and new technologies all shape the substance and direction of research."

the substance and direction of research." networks, institutional prestige and new technologies all shape of knowledge context on content. Teams and collaboration regularcies. Mecaknowledge research also investigates the effect





"Advance Personalized Learning: Instruction can be individualized based on learning styles, speeds, and interests to make learning more reliable."

The National Academy of Engineering. "Grand Challenges for Engineering: Advance Personalized Learning." Available at <u>http://www.engineeringchallenges.org/</u> <u>cms/8996/9127.aspx</u>. (June 2008).



"Engineering education experiences of the future can center on students [...] with cyber-tools and cyber-environments (also known as cyberinfrastructure) acting in well-choreographed harmony, adapting, and customizing themselves to individual learner needs and outcomes [emphasis added]."

Madhavan, K.P.C. (2007). "CAREER: Advancing Engineering Education through Learner-centric, Adaptive Cyber-tools and Cyber-environments." NSF CAREER Proposal. Submitted to NSF-EEC.

Defining the problem

There is so much work on intelligent tutors, teaching tutor agents, recommender systems, etc.

So, why is personalized learning such a big deal? What is the role of learning analytics in tackling this grand challenge?

deal? VV hat is the role of learning analytics in tackling this grand challenge?



How can we derive *actionable intelligence* if you don't know much *data* about your users/learners?

users/learners!



Personalized Learning, Basic Trigonometry, **Matrices**

Information Retrieval C.A. Montgomery and Language Processing Editor

A Vector Space Model for Automatic Indexing

G. Salton, A. Wong and C. S. Yang Cornell University

In a document retrieval, or other pattern matching environment where stored entities (documents) are compared with each other or with incoming patterns (search requests), it appears that the best indexing (property) space is one where ca from the others as possible; in th value of an indexing system may function of the density of the ob retrieval performance may corre density. An approach based on space density computations is used to choose an optimum indexing vocabulary for a collection of documents. Typical evaluation results are shown, demonstating the usefulness of the model.

Key Words and Phrases: automatic information retrieval, automatic indexing, content analysis, document space

CR Categories: 3.71, 3.73, 3.74, 3.75

Copyright @ 1975, Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. General permission to republish, but not for profit, all or part Centeral permission to republish, due not for proint, air or part of this material is granted provided that ACM's copyright notice is given and that reference is made to the publication, to its date of issue, and to the fact that reprinting privileges were granted by permission of the Association for Computing Machinery. This study was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant GN 43305. Authors' addresses: G. Salton and A. Waoa. Desortment of Computing Science II libres.

and A. Wong, Department of Computer Science, Cornell Univer-

and A. Hong, Department of compare sector, context, context, sity, Ithaca, NY 14850; C. S. Yang, Department of Computer Science, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, 52240. ¹ Although we speak of documents and index terms, the present development applies to any set of entities identified by weighted property vectors

² Retrieval performance is often measured by parameters such as recall and precision, reflecting the ratio of relevant items actually retrieved and of retrieved items actually relevant. The question concerning optimum space configurations may then be more conventionally expressed in terms of the relationship between as recall and p document indexing, on the one hand, and retrieval performance, on the other.

613

415

	• • •
the best indexing	them, $s(D_i, D_j)$, which reflects the degree of similarity
ch entity lies as far away	in the corresponding terms and term weights. Such a
ese circumstances the	similarity measure might be the inner product of the
be expressible as a	two vectors, or alternatively an inverse function of the
ect space; in particular,	angle between the corresponding vector pairs; when the
ate inversely with space	term assignment for two vectors is identical, the angle

will be zero, producing a maximum similarity measure. Instead of identifying each document by a complete vector originating at the 0-point in the coordinate system, the relative distance between the vectors is preserved by normalizing all vector lengths to one, and considering the projection of the vectors onto the envelope of the space represented by the unit sphere. In that case, each document may be depicted by a single point whose position is specified by the area where the corresponding document vector touches the envelope of the space. Two documents with similar index terms are then represented by points that are very close together in the space, and, in general, the distance between two document points in the space is inversely correlated with the similarity between the correspond-

 $D_i = (d_{i1}, d_{i2}, \ldots, d_{il}),$

 d_{ij} representing the weight of the *j*th term.

1. Document Space Configurations

Consider a document space consisting of documents

 D_i , each identified by one or more index terms T_i ; the terms may be weighted according to their im-

portance, or unweighted with weights restricted to 0 and 1.1 A typical three-dimensional index space is

shown in Figure 1, where each item is identified by up to three distinct terms. The three-dimensional example may be extended to t dimensions when t different index terms are present. In that case, each document D_i is represented by a *t*-dimensional vector

Given the index vectors for two documents, it is

possible to compute a similarity coefficient between

ing vectors. Since the configuration of the document space is a function of the manner in which terms and term weights are assigned to the various documents of a collection, one may ask whether an optimum document space configuration exists, that is, one which produces an optimum retrieval performance.2

If nothing special is known about the documents under consideration, one might conjecture that an ideal document space is one where documents that are jointly relevant to certain user queries are clustered together, thus insuring that they would be retrievable jointly in response to the corresponding queries. Contrariwise, documents that are never wanted simul-

Communications	November 1975	
of	Volume 18	
the ACM	Number 11	

PEVCH

[k x n]	Learner I	Learner 2	Learner 3	Learner n	
Data I	L L	L.	0	L.	
Data 2	0	0	0	I	
Data 3	0	1	0	. I	
Data k	1	0	. I		



Source: Berry, M.W. and Browne, M. (2005). Understanding Search Engines: Mathematical Modeling and Software Retrieval (Software Environments, Tools, 2nd Edition)

Case Study - nanoHUB.org

Platform Perspective



Setting the context - nanoHUB.org





Setting the context - nanoHUB.org









Setting the context - nanoHUB.org



Over 3,400 resources

User Contributed

Direct Impact **RESEARCH to LEARNING**





Online Simulations



and More...



Community





Instrumenting the environment (einfrastructure) holds the key



nano App Store

I 72 Countries worldwide

As much traffic as <u>http://www.purdue.edu</u>

Users at all top 50 US Engr Schools Worldwide 19% of all .edu domains

- New Registrations
- Simulation Users
- Tutorial / Lecture Users





The Matrix (Movie)





nanoHUB User Matrix





Slowing Down



For each user we plot ALL simulation tool activities over the past I2 months

Z months

Time to First Adoption



Rapid Adoption of Research



Time Between Tool Publications and First Use in Classroom

Revolutionizing Research → Classroom



Time Between Tool Publications and First Use in Classroom

Usage Patterns => Tool Qualification

Each dot is one tool Size of dot indicates number of users



• •



Tools Ranked by Frequent Use in Teaching

Usage Patterns => Tool Qualification

Each dot is one tool Size of dot indicates number of users



Research in Use 0 requent 0 ð σ Resea **Tools Ranked**

Dual Use Education and Research are coupled!



Tools Ranked by Frequent Use in Teaching

Tool Usage - Time Evolution





nanoHUB User Behavior





Formal Education vs. Research

↑_	Α	Soph. Materials Engineering	
	В	Soph. Mechanical Engineering	
	C		Senior Electrical Engineering
	D	Freshman Chemistry	
sers	E		Graduate Electrical Eng.
	Sopt	n. Materials Engineering	
	G	Experimentalist Researchers	
	H	Computational Researchers	
		Self-Study Users	
_ Υ	uly 1, 2009		- Time (Days)



Formal Education vs. Research

	A 3	Soph. Materials Engineering		134	Courses	95%
	B Soph. Mechanical Engineering Soph. Mechanical Engineering Senior Electrical Engineering		97	Institutions	outside	
[D	Freshman Chemistry Graduate	Electrical Eng.	3060	Students	NCN
1 Users	-	Tools and Usage Pattern	Validated Su	ıbset Shown	Classes Like This	Total Users
1	Α	Single Tool, Single Use	Soph. Materials Engineering		96	1392
	B Single Tool, Semester Use So C Multiple Tools, Periodic and So Repeated Use D Multiple Tools, Periodic Single Fr D Multiple Tools, Periodic Single Fr Use E Single Tool, Intensive Use Gr		Soph. Mechanic	al Engineerir	ng 5	253
			Senior Electrica	I Engineering	1	84
ł			Freshman Cher	nistry	41	803
r			Graduate Electr	ical Eng.	6	142
ſ	F	Multiple Use in 3 Classes, Transformation to Research	Soph. Materials	Engineering	1	35
	GExperimentalist Researchers18 uHComputational Researchers2		18 u	sers		31
			2		94	
	I	Self-Study Users	33 (not v	alidated)		5,685



Formal Education vs. Research



KEY Proof of real use in education. Knowledge transfer out of Insight research into education. Voluntary and VIRAL use!





















Case Study - Informal Spaces

Systems Perspective

Worked in collaboration Xin "Cindy" Chen and Dr. Mihaela Vorvoreanu (CGT, Purdue)

Instrumenting Informal Spaces







What insights do we gain from user generated data?



Dashboard(s-ing)



How does higher education use social media data?





The State of Web and Social Media Analytics in Higher Ed, Survey by Higher Ed Experts, July 2011

How does higher education use social media data?

Mostly Number Counting, No Content Analysis

What metrics do colleges track?



CYBERINFRASTRUCTURE for engineering education

The State of Web and Social Media Analytics in Higher Ed, Survey by Higher Ed Experts, July 2011

How does higher education use social media data?



How do higher ed institutions use insights from Analytics?



CYBERINFRASTRUCTURE for engineering education

The State of Web and Social Media Analytics in Higher Ed, Survey by Higher Ed Experts, July 2011

Methods

Strategy Collect web c

Collect web content relevant to engineering students to understand their college experiences

their college experiences



Relevant vocabulary is undefined; time span is undefined.

pan is underned.



Methods

Iterative process of retrieving relevant data using Radian6

Ing ruaian

Nov. 1st, 2011 -- May. 2nd, 2012 #engineeringProblems: 10,006 tweets

I. Qualitative Content Analysis2. Keyphrase Extraction and Topic Modeling

seyphrase extraction and topic modeling



The trend: number of tweets per day using #engineeringProblems



The trend: accumulative number of tweets using #engineeringProblems



Qualitative Results



Text Analysis

From the machine perspective, text is **unstructured, nominal, qualitative** data. It needs to be transformed in order to be visualized.

transformed in order to be visualized.











representation





Keyphrase Extraction and Topic Modeling

Extract prominent key terms and identify main themes from large text corpora.

trom large text corpora.



Topic Modeling Results

Topic 0: problems, this week, calculator, forget, calc (calculus), happy, feeling, really, learn, hopefully, finish, numbers, year, right now, too much work, it's bad, solutions manual, guess, everyday, scores, multiple test, find out, exams, differential equations, pretty, glad, can't follow, coffee, easy, angle

Topic I: ever, professor, words with friends, math with friends, trying, I'm awful, calculate, favor, pretty sure, engineering building, URL, hard, sometimes, the only girl, stop, more time, stay, pressure, GPA, back pack weighs more, sleep, determine, calculate how far, complicated, bitch, business major, starting, girls bathroom, don't understand, finally

Topic 2: awkward moment, Friday night, actually doing any, amount, yeah, don't know, curve, actually, free time, days, weekends, still, book, even, last night, drunk, same week, purpose, sitting, next week, don't even know how, senior design, feeling not tired, buy beer, napping, for hours, don't know, pull, force

Not Converge to Distinct Topics, Need Manual Curation

Implications and Future Direction





Are these Twitter users building a community?





Questions?



Krishna Madhavan School of Engineering Education Network for Computational Nanotechnology <u>cm@purdue.edu</u>

cm@purdue.edu

