# Addressing the Impact of Implicit Bias on Teams in Introductory Engineering Courses

Laura Alford

Faculty Communities for Inclusive Teaching, 2016



## **Project Overview**

- Key Question: How much does implicit bias affect students in introductory engineering courses (Engr 100 Intro to Engineering & Engr 101 Intro to Computer Programming)?
- **Key Goal:** Determine whether or not implicit bias (or related topics) should be addressed in 100/101.
- Activities: Four lunch meetings with faculty of 100/101 during Winter 2016
- 1. Review Fall 2015 student views on being "singled out."
- 2. Read and discuss *Blindspot*; discuss reactions to taking Implicit Association Tests (IATs).
- 3. Discuss student views on implicit
- 4. Plan how 100/101 might address implicit bias in the classroom.

### **Key Insights**

- Our students are a *lot* more affected by implicit bias than we had realized. There were literally hundreds of stories of students being singled out for how they looked or students having someone make assumptions about them based on how they look.
- Equity and inclusion are directly impacted by implicit bias; all can impact a student's ability to be an integrated member of a team.
- Taking implicit association tests (IATs) is an excellent first step towards recognizing implicit biases in ourselves before discussing how implicit bias affects other people.
- From group discussions, it became clear that any plan to address implicit bias, diversity, equity, and inclusion would need to be both easily adopted by 100/101 faculty and flexible as the faculty would need or want to do varying amounts of activities related to these topics.
- Because students are required to take both Engr 100 & 101, activities need to be complementary, to reduce direct repetition.

	Engineering 100	XXX is doing this (Y/N)	Engineering 101	XXX is doing this (Y/N)	Notes
Focus	Inclusion		Equity		
Entry Survey	5-7 base questions	Y	5-7 base questions	Y	questions will be the same across all sections; just have to insert into existing surveys that the sections use
First Day: Introduce Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Initiative; state focus for the class	Diversity is the numbers in different groups		Diversity is the numbers in different groups		
	Equity provides opportunities to everyone so they can succeed		Equity provides opportunities to everyone so they can succeed		presentation material will be the same across all participating sections; CRLT
	Inclusion welcomes everyone so they can succeed		Inclusion welcomes everyone so they can succeed		could provide?
(~3rd week): Implicit	Introduce concepts of roadblocks to inclusion: we naturally organize ourselves into social groups (e.g. gender, race/ethnicity, sexual identity, politics, religion, etc.); explain that we will explore how we view groups differently using one result of social groups implicit bias. Summarize the research behind the IAT.		Introduce concepts of roadblocks to equity: implicit bias, stereotype threat, imposter syndrome, "essential differences"; explain that we will learn about these using one set of social groupings gender. Summarize research showing gender inequity from these 4 roadblocks.		forms for reflection will be the same across all sections; Laura & Robin can administer and process; minimal effort required by 100/101 faculty
	Take 2-3 IATs (NOT Gender-Science)		Take Gender-Science IAT		
	Reflect on the IATs: Which IATs did you do?	_	Reflect on the IATs: Check questions from F15-W16		
	Check questions from F15-	_			

Section

Section

## **Participants**

- Laura Alford, Naval Architecture & Marine Engineering
- Robin Fowler, Technical Communication • Jamie Phillips, Electrical & Computer Engineering
- Elizabeth Hildinger, Technical Communication
- Lisa Grimble, Technical Communication
- Matt Johnson-Robertson, Naval Architecture & Marine Engineering
- Sonya Kotov, Computer Science & Engineering
- Peter Chen, Computer Science & Engineering
- Rob Sulewski, Technical Communication
- Rod Johnson, Technical Communication Technical Walburga Zahn,
- Tershia Pinder-Grover, CRLT-Engin

Communication

#### Artifacts

At the final meeting of this group, we brainstormed activities that students could do to help them understand why equity and inclusion are so important to teamwork. We also discussed how these activities could be arranged throughout the semester and how they would complement each other in 100 vs. 101. The resulting plan (right) is one suggestion of how we might address implicit bias, equity, and inclusion in the introductory engineering courses.

#### Key:

Required by any section participating (ideally, all 100/101 sections)

Required if doing any activity other than entry/exit surveys

Suggested activity, but not required Activity used for assessment

Entry Survey	5-7 base questions	Y	5-7 base questions	Y	questions will be the same across all sections; just have to insert into existing surveys that the sections use	
Firet Davi	Diversity is the numbers in		Diversity is the numbers in	_		
ntroduce	different groups		different groups		presentation material will be	
Diversity, Equity,	Equity provides opportunities to everyone so they can		Equity provides opportunities to everyone so they can		the same across all	
ina inclusion	succeed		succeed		participating sections; CRLT	
nitiative; state ocus for the	Inclusion welcomes				could provide?	
	everyone so they can		Inclusion welcomes everyone so			
	succeed		they can succeed			
	Introduce concepts of					
Early in semester (~3rd week): Implicit Association Tests (IATs)	roadblocks to inclusion: we					
	naturally organize ourselves		Introduce concepts of			
	into social groups (e.g. gender, race/ethnicity, sexual		roadblocks to equity: implicit bias, stereotype threat, imposter			
	identity, politics, religion,		syndrome, "essential			
	etc.); explain that we will		differences"; explain that we will			
	explore how we view groups		learn about these using one set		forms for reflection will be the	
	differently using one result of		of social groupings gender.		same across all sections;	
	social groups implicit bias.		Summarize research showing		Laura & Robin can administe	
	behind the IAT.		gender inequity from these 4 roadblocks.		and process; minimal effort	
	Take 2-3 IATs (NOT Gender-		TOAUDIOCKS.		required by 100/101 faculty	
	Science)		Take Gender-Science IAT			
	Reflect on the IATs:		Reflect on the IATs:			
	Which IATs did you do?		Check questions from F15-W16			
	Check questions from F15- W16					
	Add a question on inclusion					
	to the contract. Sample		Add a question on equity to the			
	language: "When you see		contract. Sample language:			
	implicit bias in your		"When you see gender bias in			
	colleagues, what will you		your colleagues, what will you			
Team/Group Contracts	do?"		do?"		individual 100/101 faculty will need to be responsible for gathering this data	
	Add a question on inclusion within your team to the		Add a question on equity within			
	individual team assessment.		your team to the individual			
	Sample language: "Please		group assessment. Sample			
	also address how implicit		language: "Please also address			
	bias affected your team		how gender bias affected your			
	because we know it was in your contract."		group because we know it was in your contract."			
	Provide a list of first person		Provide a list of first person			
	experiences of implicit bias;		experiences of gender inequity;		100/101 faculty to collaborate on a list of resources, probable	
	students pick 2:		students pick 2:			
	Videos		Videos			
	TED Talks		TED Talks		as a google doc that can be	
	Essays		Essays		shared with the students;	
oreon	Blog posts		Blog posts		reflection form will be the	
Experience Research	BuzzFeed articles		BuzzFeed articles		same across all participating	
	Fair Play game				sections, will be administered	
	Read Blindspot				by Laura & Robin, minimal effort by 100/101 faculty	
	Reflect on the experiences:		Reflect on the experiences:		required	
	Which ones did you pick?		Which ones did you pick?			
	Why? Other questions?		Why?			
	Other questions?		Other questions?			
			Revisit obstacles to equity			
			(implicit bias, stereotype threat,		source material can be	
lear end of	Revisit obstacles to inclusion;		imposter syndrome, "essential		common across all sections, of	
emester: Wran-	show some kind of results		differences"); show some kind of		individual faculty can do different things; reflection for	
in talk in lecture/	from the class' activities;		results from the class' activities;			
lab/ discussion	include strategies for dealing		include strategies for dealing with gender bias		will be the same across all	
	with implicit biases  Reflect on this journey:		with gender bias  Reflect on this journey:		sections; will require at least hour of class time	
	Check questions from F15-W16		Check questions from F15-W16		nour or class tille	
					Ougations same same :	
					Questions same across all sections; just have to insert	
					into existing surveys sections	
xit Survey	5-7 base questions	Υ	5-7 base questions	V	used	

#### Resources

- Blindspot: Hidden Biases of Good People, Mahzarin R. Banaji & Anthony G. Greenwald, Delacorte
- Google's research on implicit bias, including training slides:

https://rework.withgoogle.com/subjects/unbias

- Survey data from Engr 100/151, EECS 183/280 during the Fall 2015 semester (supported by the Computing CARES project). Survey data included student answers to:
  - o Have you ever been singled out? If so, briefly explain.
  - o Have you ever had someone assume something about you based solely on how you look? If so, briefly explain.
- The game Fair Play: http://gameslearningsociety.org/fairplay\_micros
- Project Implicit: Implicit Association Tests: https://implicit.harvard.edu/implicit/ takeatest.html

#### **Next Steps**

This community represented a large part of 100/101, but not all faculty members.

This plan would need to first be discussed with the College of Engineering leadership for 100/101. It is critical that any plan be in line with the CoE's own plan for the Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Initiative.

After revision, this plan can be distributed to the entire 100/101 faculty. Faculty who can sign up to do as much or as little as they feel comfortable doing. There is value in all cases, as those that do not choose any of these activities can be control groups in future assessment, and other sections can try different amounts of these activities. Eventually, we may be able to target the most efficient activities.