




## Active/Cooperative Learning (ACL)

<http://www.foundationcoalition.org>

"I loved working in groups. I was really good at calculus, one of the guys was really good at chemistry and we would end up teaching each other."

Foundation Coalition Student

### Why Don't We Teach the Way Students Learn?

Eighty-nine percent of U.S. professors lecture as a mode of instruction.<sup>2</sup> However, the literature shows that students must do more than just listen to truly learn.<sup>1</sup> (see chart) 

#### Active Learning

(Bonwell and Eison)<sup>6</sup>

When using active learning students are engaged in more activities than just listening. They are involved in dialog, debate, writing, and problem solving, as well as higher-order thinking, e.g., analysis, synthesis, evaluation.

#### Cooperative Learning

(Johnson, Johnson, and Smith)<sup>9</sup>

Cooperative learning is the instructional use of small groups so that students work together to maximize their own and each other's learning. Five essential components must be present for small-group learning to be truly cooperative:

- 1) clear positive interdependence between students
- 2) face to face interaction
- 3) individual accountability
- 4) emphasize interpersonal and small-group skills
- 5) processes must be in place for group review to improve effectiveness


### Why Should You Care?

"... cooperative learning produces **higher achievement**, **more positive relationships** among students, and **healthier psychological adjustment** than do competitive or individualistic experiences."<sup>9</sup>

"... active/cooperative methods can increase **mechanics course effectiveness** well beyond that obtained in traditional practice."<sup>10</sup>

"... various forms of small-group learning are effective in promoting **greater academic achievement**, **more favorable attitudes** toward learning, and **increased persistence** in SMET [science, engineering, mathematics, technology] courses and programs."<sup>11</sup>

### CONE OF LEARNING



### How Can I Get Started?

#### Active Learning

You can incorporate active learning into your classroom in several ways, including modification of existing lectures, in-class discussions, case studies and guided designs.<sup>2,7,8</sup>

#### Cooperative Learning

Try a cooperative learning structure called Think-Pair-Share in which you pose a question, ask students to think about it, ask students to discuss their answers in pairs, and then call on students to share their answers with the class.

<http://home.att.net/%7eclnetwork/thinkps.htm>

### What Does Research Indicate?

#### Example One<sup>10</sup>

This paper compares outcomes for an experimental group to those for students in a traditionally-taught comparison group. The experimental group outperformed the comparison group on a number of measures. The pedagogy should be adaptable to any engineering curriculum at any institution since large classes were used and special classrooms were not required.

<http://www2.ncsu.edu/unity/lockers/users/f/felder/public/Papers/long5.htm>

## For Your Further Study


### Example Two<sup>11</sup>

Analysis of multiple research studies demonstrates that various forms of small-group learning are quite effective in promoting greater academic achievement, more favorable attitudes toward learning, and increased persistence in SMET courses and programs. The analysis supports more widespread implementation of small-group learning in undergraduate SMET courses.

<http://www.wcer.wisc.edu/nise/cl1/CL/resource/R2.htm>

### Example Three<sup>12</sup>

The graph below shows the fraction of courses achieving a specific normalized gain on the Force Concept Inventory. Courses using traditional lectures are shown in red (dark), while courses using interactive engagement (IE - closely related to active/cooperative learning) are shown in green (light).



This study shows that the use of IE results in higher conceptual gains than traditional lectures in almost every course.

<http://carini.physics.indiana.edu/SDI/FOEN-1e.pdf>

1. Chickering, A. and Z. Gamson, "Seven Principles for Good Practice," AAHE Bulletin, 39:3-7, March 1987, ED 282 491, 6pp, MF-01; PC-01
2. Thielens, W., "The Disciplines and Undergraduate Lecturing," paper presented at an annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, April 1987, Washington, DC, ED 286 436, 57 pp, MF-01, PC-03
3. Astin, Alexander, *Achieving Educational Excellence*, 1985, San Francisco, Jossey-Bass
4. Cross, K. Patricia, "Teaching for Learning," AAHE Bulletin 39: 3-7, April, 1987, ED 283 446, 6pp MF-01, PC-01
5. Ryan, M. and G. Martens, *Planning a College Course: A Guidebook for the Graduate Teaching Assistant*, 1989, National Center for Research to Improve Postsecondary Teaching and Learning, Ann Arbor, MI
6. Bonwell, C. and J. Eison, "Active Learning: Creating Excitement in the Classroom," ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report No. 1, 1991 (<http://www.ntlf.com/html/lib/bib/91-9dig.htm>)
7. McKeachie, W. and P. Pintrich, Y. Lin, and D. Smith,, *Teaching and Learning in the College Classroom: A Review of the Research Literature*, 1986, Ann Arbor: Regents of the University of Michigan, ED 314 999, 124 pp, MF-01, PC-05.
8. Penner, J., *Why Many College Teachers Cannot Lecture*, 1984, Springfield, IL, Charles C. Thomas
9. Johnson, D. W., R. T. Johnson, and K. Smith, *Active Learning: Cooperation in the College Classroom*, Interaction Book Company, Edina, MN, 1991.
10. Richard M. Felder, Gary N. Felder, E. Jacquelin Dietz, "A Longitudinal Study of Engineering Student Performance and Retention. V. Comparisons with Traditionally-Taught Students," *Journal of Engineering Education*, volume 98, number 4, 1998, pp. 469-480.
11. Leonard Springer, Mary Elizabeth Stanne, and Samuel Donovan, "Effects of Small-Group Learning on Undergraduates in Science, Mathematics, Engineering, and Technology: A Meta-Analysis," (Research Monograph No. 11). Madison: University of Wisconsin-Madison, National Institute for Science Education.
12. Hake, Richard R., "Interactive-engagement vs. traditional methods: A six-thousand-student survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics courses," *American Journal of Physics*, volume 66, 1998, pp. 64- 74.
13. Dale, Edgar, *Audio-Visual Methods in Teaching*, third edition, Holt Rinehart, Winston, 1969.

## How much material can be covered using ACL?

Experience has shown that courses taught using ACL cover as much or more material than traditional lecture courses if faculty members view a student's learning experience as a system that extends beyond the classroom. Students in ACL classes grow to accept responsibility for material they study outside the classroom.

## How much time does it take to adapt ACL?

Faculty can employ ACL by spending a few more hours per week than they would spend on a traditional lecture course. The amount of additional time required depends of the magnitude of changes adopted. Faculty members are encouraged to start with small changes and then expand their use of ACL.

Whether you're just getting started or looking for some additional ideas, the Foundation Coalition would like to help you incorporate effective active/cooperative learning tools in your teaching through workshops, web sites, lesson plans, and reading materials. If you'd like suggestions on where to start, see our web site at

<http://www.foundationcoalition.org>

or contact: Jeffrey Froyd at [froyd@ee.tamu.edu](mailto:froyd@ee.tamu.edu) or 979-845-7574.